BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION 1 STATE OF NEVADA 2 FEB 2 4 2022 SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, 3 REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT Case No. 2020-359 OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA, 5 Petitioner, 6 VS. 7 ALLAN N. ROTHSTEIN, 8 Respondent. 9 COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING 10 The REAL ESTATE DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY 11 OF THE STATE OF NEVADA ("Division") hereby notifies ALLAN N. ROTHSTEIN 12 ("RESPONDENT"), of an administrative hearing before the STATE OF NEVADA REAL ESTATE COMMISSION ("Commission"). The hearing will be held pursuant to Chapters 233B and Chapter 645 13 14 of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). 15 The purpose of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if RESPONDENT should be subject to an administrative penalty as set forth in NRS 645.633 and/or NRS 645.630 and/or 16 17 NRS 622.400, and the discipline to be imposed, if violations of law are proven. 18 JURISDICTION 19 At all relevant times, RESPONDENT was licensed by the Division as a real estate broker 1. 20 (B.1001142.INDV) and a property manager (PM. 0164222.BKR) from the Division and is therefore 21 subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission, and the provisions of NRS chapter 645 22 and NAC chapter 645. 23 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS** 24 At all relevant times, RESPONDENT was licensed by the Division as a real estate broker 2. (B.1001142.INDV) and a property management permit (PM. 0164222.BKR), was issued by the Division, 25

4. The RESPONDENT was issued a property management permit on July 15, 2009.

The RESPONDENT has been a broker since March 25, 2013.

26

27

28

both of which are in "active" status.

3.

- 5. The RESPONDENT had a salesperson license (S.0167162) until March 12, 2013.
- 6. The RESPONDENT's salesperson license is currently in "closed" status.
- 7. Prior to March 25, 2013, the RESPONDENT's brokers were RX Realty, Pulse Realty Group, LLC and Encore Realty Group.
- 8. On or about July 30, 2010, Kyle Puntney executed a residential property management agreement with the RESPONDENT's prior brokerage RX Realty for 11893 Wedgebrook, Las Vegas Nevada 89183 ("the property").
- 9. At that time, the RESPONDENT had a property management permit and a real estate salesperson license.
- 10. On or about April 23, 2020, complainant Kyle Puntney ("Mr. Puntney"), filed a complaint with the Division against RESPONDENT in which he alleged that the RESPONDENT sexually harassed one of complainant's tenants Ms. Candy Torres, failed to communicate repairs and was deceitful and dishonest in collection of fees.
- 11. At the time of the allegations in this Complaint, the RESPONDENT was operating under his broker's license and listed the address for his brokerage with the Division at 755 W. Sunset Road, in Henderson Nevada 89011.
- 12. However, an investigation by the Division on April 30, 2020, showed that an "Any and All Auto Parts" shop was located at that address, and not the RESPONDENT's brokerage.
- 13. Mr. Puntney was transferred out of state for work and tasked the RESPONDENT with finding a tenant for the property.
 - 14. After becoming a broker, the RESPONDENT did not execute:
 - a. a lease brokerage listing agreement for the property with Mr. Puntney;
 - b. a property management agreement with Mr. Puntney for the property; and
 - c. the duties owed by a Nevada real estate licensee.
- 15. On or about September 21, 2018, the MLS for the property showed that the rental amount was \$1,550.00.
- 16. In September of 2018, the RESPONDENT found a new tenant Candy Torres ("the tenant") for the property.

- 17. The RESPONDENT allowed the tenant to move into the property, prior to her executing a residential lease agreement.
 - 18. The RESPONDENT was using a Section 8 voucher to rent the property.
- 19. The RESPONDENT did not communicate the needed repairs for the property to the complainant.
 - 20. The RESPONDENT did not maintain the property.
- 21. Instead, the RESPONDENT had the tenant fix the property with her out of pocket expenses if she was assured an approval for the home through Section 8.
- 22. The items that the tenant fixed prior to moving in was to install a toilet, change the carpet on the first floor, paint and install smoke detectors.
- 23. The tenant made several trips to the RESPONDENT's home to sign paperwork and get documents for the property.
- 24. On more than one occasion during her trips to the RESPONDENT's property, the RESPONDENT made unwanted sexual advances towards the tenant.
- 25. On or about September 9, 2018, the RESPONDENT had the tenant, who was a residential lease, sign an "Exclusive Broker Representation Agreement for Buyer, Seller, Leasee, Lessor" agreement, which commenced on September 27, 2018.
- 26. On or about October 24, 2018, Wayneisha Thomas from Southern Nevada Housing Authority sent an email to the RESPONDENT stating the tenant's rent could not be more than \$1,350.00 per month due to her income.
- 27. On or about November 17, 2018, according to the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority-Notice of Rent Payment and Program Abuse Warning Information, an owner may not accept any other monies from a tenant and any extra payment more than the family's share of rent is considered fraud.
- 28. On or about November 23, 2018, a Residential Lease Agreement ("the lease agreement") was executed between Mr. Puntney and the tenant for the property.
 - 29. There was no commencement date or ending date in the lease agreement.
 - 30. The monthly rental amount was in the amount of \$1,475.00 dollars.

- 31. In addition, a security deposit in the amount of \$1,475.00 was also listed in the lease agreement.
- 32. On or about November 23, 2018, a rent receipt regarding the property showed that a balance of \$1,882.00 was paid.
- 33. On or about November 23, 2018, the RESPONDENT had the tenant execute "Direct Consent for Sexual Intercourse and or Fellatio or Cunnilingus" ("the sex consent document").
- 34. The RESPONDENT admitted on two separate occasions to the Division that he had the tenant sign the sex consent document.
- 35. The residential, property management and Section 8 agreements differed in terms of responsibility for utilities and fees.
- 36. A rent receipt dated December 30, 2018, for the property showed that \$500.00 were paid. There was also a note stating, "owes \$325.00 dollars eviction fee-Take from my Security Deposit." "Candy paid rent, Dec power bill, trash."
- 37. On or about January 18, 2019, a communication from the tenant to the RESPONDENT stated that she found the power bill for November/December and that the bill was for \$171.03 but the RESPONDENT made her pay \$300.00.
- 38. The tenant also found out that the trash bill was \$44.76 for every three months, however the RESPONDENT charged her \$55.00.
 - 39. The tenant also stated that "as of Tuesday" the water was put under someone else's name.
- 40. On or about February 4, 2019, a communication from the tenant to the RESPONDENT stated that the lady from Nevada Energy was correct and that the RESPONDENT overcharged her and claimed that she still owed \$39.00.
- 41. On or about March 3, 2019, a communication from the tenant to the RESPONDENT showed that the tenant went to the RESPONDENT's home to pay her rent for March 2019 in the amount of \$1,051.00 that the RESPONDENT said she owed.
 - 42. The RESPONDENT refused to accept the rent unless the tenant paid \$4,485.00.
- 43. THE RESPONDENT threatened the tenant that if she did not pay the \$4,485.00, she was to "Get the f... out of the house."

- 44. The tenant told the RESPONDENT that she was going to be returning to Nevada Legal Services to show them what happened at his home.
- 45. On or about March 3, 2019, tenant told RESPONDENT that she had money orders and asked how the amount from \$1,056.00 went up to \$4,458.00.
- 46. On or about April 8, 2019, the tenant filed a Complaint against the complainant and the RESPONDENT with the United States District Court, District of Nevada, Case #2:19-cv-00594, in which she alleged discrimination and harassment causes of action in violation of the Federal Fair Housing Act.
- 47. Specifically, the Complaint stated that the RESPONDENT asked the tenant to give him a "hand job" in exchange for a good start.
- 48. After the tenant refused the RESPONDENT's sexual advances, he commenced eviction proceedings, which he subsequently stopped.
- 49. The complainant was a party to the complaint solely because he was the owner of the property when the violations occurred involving the tenant.
 - 50. The RESPONDENT did not notify the complainant of the pending lawsuit in federal court.
- 51. On or about August 6, 2019, SWAT team came to the property looking for one of the tenant's friends.
- 52. On or about August 9, 2019, despite not having a lease brokerage and property management agreements with Mr. Puntney for the property, the RESPONDENT represented himself as the property manager for the property.
- 53. On September 18, 2019, the complainant informed the RESPONDENT, that he would not be renewing the lease with the tenant and the RESPONDENT needed to inform her that was her 60-day notice to move out of the property at the end of the lease.
 - 54. The lease expired on November 23, 2019, and the tenant moved out.
 - 55. The federal litigation is still ongoing.
- 56. The complainant was aware that the tenant was late on her rent twice during the 12 months of her lease.
- 57. However, the RESPONDENT did not inform the complainant that the tenant was late on her rent and that he had started and stopped eviction proceedings.

- 21 22
- 24 25

26

27 28

- 58. Instead, the RESPONDENT told the complainant that he was getting the rent late due to an assistant's clerical error at his office.
- 59. On or about April 24, 2020, the Division sent correspondence to the RESPONDENT, notifying him that a complaint has been filed against him and that the Division has opened an investigation against him.
- 60. In that same correspondence, the Division requested that the RESPONDENT provide the transaction file for the property.
- 61. The Division also requested that the RESPONDENT provide a response to the allegations in the complaint no later than May 15, 2020.
- 62. On or about October 4, 2021, the RESPONDENT informed the Division that he was working out of his home, he met his clients either at his home or Burger King across the street and that he asked the tenant to sign the sex consent document.
- 63. On or about October 4, 2021, the Division sent a follow up correspondence in which it gave the RESPONDENT until October 19, 2021, to respond to the allegations in the complaint.
 - 64. The RESPONDENT did not respond.
- 65. On or about October 18, 2021, RESPONDENT was properly notified by the Division that it was bringing a complaint for disciplinary action before the Commission.

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

RESPONDENT committed the following violations of law:

- 1. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(h) for allowing the tenant to move into the property prior to signing the residential lease agreement, which amounts to gross negligence or incompetence in performing his property management responsibilities.
- RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(h) pursuant to NRS 645.510 for allowing the 2. tenant to sign the lease at his personal residence instead of the place of business, that is listed on his Broker's license issued by the Nevada Real Estate Division.
- 3. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(i) by engaging in conduct that was deceitful, fraudulent, or dishonest by asking the tenant to sign the Direct Consent for Sexual Intercourse and/or Fellatio or Cunnilingus and admitting on October 4, 2021, that he asked the tenant to sign the document.

- 5 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27 28

- 4. RESPONDENT admitted to violating NRS 645.633(1)(i) during a phone call with the Division on May 20, 2021.
- 5. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.252(1) for failing to exercise reasonable skill and care with respect to all parties in a real estate transaction when he:
 - a. Tried to evict the tenant without just cause; and
 - b. Failed to protect the owner (complainant) of the property and subjected him to a civil lawsuit in federal court because of his unethical and disgraceful behavior.
- RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.6056(1) when he acted as a property manager for the 6. property without having obtained a property management agreement signed by the owner of the property.
- RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.6056(1) when the tenant signed the Exclusive Broker 7. Representation Agreement for Buyer, Seller, Leasee, Lessor, and not the owner of the property.
- 8. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(i), when he failed to protect the owner of the property, who spent thousands of dollars in attorney's fees due to the RESPONDENT's misconduct and unethical practices.
- 9. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(3)(b)(2) pursuant to NAC 645.605(6), when he breached his obligation to absolute fidelity to his principal's interest or his obligation to deal fairly with all parties to a real estate transaction when he failed to notify the complainant, who was the owner of the property of the federal civil lawsuit filed against him.

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

- Pursuant to NRS 645.630 and NRS 645.633, the Commission is empowered to impose an 1. administrative fine of up to \$10,000 per violation against RESPONDENT and further to suspend, revoke or place conditions on the license of RESPONDENT.
- 2. Additionally, under NRS Chapter 622, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of the proceeding upon RESPONDENT, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the Commission otherwise imposes discipline on RESPONDENT.
- 3. Therefore, the Division requests that the Commission take such disciplinary action as it deems appropriate under the circumstances.

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider the Administrative Complaint against the above-named Respondent in accordance with Chapters 233B and 645 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE on March 29, 2022, commencing at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Commission is able to hear the matter, and each day thereafter commencing at 9:00 a.m. through March 31, 2022, or earlier if the business of the Commission is concluded. The Commission meeting will be held on March 29, 2022, at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th Floor – Tahoe Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102. The meeting will continue on March 30, 2022, at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th Floor – Tahoe Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102, commencing at 9:00 a.m., and on March 31, 2022, should business not be concluded, starting at 9:00 a.m. at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th Floor – Tahoe Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

If the meeting will not be conducted in person, then you will be notified by known email or mail as soon as possible that the Commission will conduct a virtual meeting using Webex.com with the meeting information as follows:

DIAL-IN NUMBER: (844) 621-3956 or Webex.com

TUESDAY, MARCH 29, 2022 MEETING NUMBER ACCESS CODE: 2492 043 1496 MEETING PASSWORD: 38YerzTWmU3 (38937989683 from phones and video systems)

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 30, 2022 MEETING NUMBER ACCESS CODE: 2487 420 4399 MEETING PASSWORD: Kmmix976v52 (56649976852 from phones and video systems)

THURSDAY, MARCH 31, 2022 MEETING NUMBER ACCESS CODE: 2486 415 0596 MEETING PASSWORD: MjPpJCFs723 (65775237723 from phones and video systems)

STACKED CALENDAR: Your hearing is one of serval hearings scheduled at the same time as part of a regular meeting of the Commission that is expected to last from March 29, 2022, through March 31, 2022, or earlier if the business of the Commission is concluded. Thus, your hearing may be continued until later in the day or from day to day. It is your responsibility to be present when your case is called. If you are not present when your hearing is called, a default may be entered against you and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations in the complaint

were true. If you have any questions please call Evelyn Pattee, Commission Coordinator (702) 486-4074.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open meeting under Nevada's open meeting law and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and arguments, the commission may conduct a closed meeting to discuss your alleged misconduct or professional competence. You are entitled to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the transcription.

As the RESPONDENT, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved.

You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making the request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights you have are listed in NRS 645.680 through 645.990, NRS Chapter 233B, and NAC 645.810 through 645.920.

The purpose of the hearing is to determine if the Respondent has violated NRS 645 and/or NAC 645 and if the allegations contained herein are substantially proven by the evidence presented and

...

23 | . .

24 || . .

25 || . .

26 || . .

27 || . .

28 | . .

1	to further determine what administrative penalty is to be assessed against the RESPONDENT, if any,
2	pursuant to NRS 645.235, 645.633 and or 645.630.
3	DATED this 23 day of February, 2022.
4	State of Nevada
5	Department of Business and Industry Real Estate Division
6	Real Estate Division
7	By:
8	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator 3300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 350
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
	AARON D. FORD
10	Attorney General
11	
12	By: / s /Virginia T. Tomova VIRGINIA T. TOMOVA (Bar. No. 12504)
13	Deputy Attorney General
14	555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
15	(702) 486-7629 Attorneys for Real Estate Division
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	